

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/053,283	11/02/2001	Charles Clark	2001P19665US	1249
	590 05/18/2004		EXAM	NER
Siemens Corporation Attn: Elsa Keller, Legal Administrator			KIM, RICHARD H	
Intellectual Pro	perty Department		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
186 Wood Ave Iselin, NJ 088			2871	r.
			DATE MAILED: 05/18/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
18,	Advisory Action	10/053,283	CLARK ET AL.				
		Examin r	Art Unit				
		Richard H Kim	2871				
	The MAILING DATE of this c mmunication appears on the cover sheet with the c rrespondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 30 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In oevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
((d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
	NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5.	5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
6. <u> </u>	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becauraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	use it is not directed SOLELY to	issues which were newly				
7.🛛	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s explanation of how the new or amended claims wou) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)□ ld be rejected is provided below	will be entered and an or appended.				
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
	Claim(s) allowed: 5,7 and 8.		•				
	Claim(s) objected to:						
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4 and 11-16</u> .						
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8.	The drawing correction filed on is a) approx	ved or b) disapproved by the	Examiner.				
9.							
	Other:	SUPE	ROJEVI H. KIM WIECTY PATENT EXAMINER CHROLOGY CENTER 2800				

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant's amendments raises new issues (ie. "for measuring patient dosimetry during treatment", for "device commissioning for treatment", "attached", etc.) which would require further search and consideration.